

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,406	11/26/2003	Ronald A. Katz	6046-101D11	1054
35554 7590 12/21/2010 REENA KUYPER, ESQ.			EXAMINER	
BYARD NILSSON, ESQ. 9229 SUNSET BOULEVARD SUITE 630			WOO, STELLAL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90069			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/724,406	KATZ, RONALD A.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stella L. Woo	2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

earried paterit territ adjustifierit.	000 07	011111704

<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SN (i) M/NI it's from the making date of this communication.</li> <li>Failur to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statine, cause the application to become ARMO/NEC (36 XLG, § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjulament, See 37 CFR 1.74(b).</li> </ul>	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 October 2010</u> . 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 17-28.30-36.38.40.41.43.45 and 46 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 17-28.30-36.38.40-41.43.45-46 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filled on ☐ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12	
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's ** Alexin Drawing Review (PTC-948)     Tapsr Na(s) Mail Cale	

Application/Control Number: 10/724,406

Art Unit: 2614

## DETAILED ACTION

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,406

Art Unit: 2614

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 17-28, 30-36, 38, 40-41, 43, 45-46 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-61 of U.S. Patent No. 7,848,496. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 17-28, 30-36, 38, 40-41, 43, 45-46 of the present application are anticipated by claims 1-61 of the patent.
- 3. Claims 17-28, 30-36, 38, 40-41, 43, 45-46 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-168 of U.S. Patent No. 7,839,984. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 17-28, 30-36, 38, 40-41, 43, 45-46 are anticipated by claims 1-168 of the patent.
- 4. Claims 17-28, 30-36, 38, 40-41, 43, 45-46 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-91 of U.S. Patent No. 7,835,509. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 17-28, 30-36, 38, 40-41, 43, 45-46 are anticipated by claims 1-92 of the patent.
- Claims 17-28, 30-36, 38, 40-41, 43, 45-46 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-87 of U.S. Patent No. 7,835,508. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are

Application/Control Number: 10/724,406 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

not patentably distinct from each other because claims 17-28, 30-36, 38, 40-41, 43, 45-46 are anticipated by claims 1-87 of the patent.

- 6. Claims 17-28, 30-36, 38, 40-41, 43, 45-46 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-195 of U.S. Patent No. 6,323,894. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 17-28, 30-36, 38, 40-41, 43, 45-46 are anticipated by claims 1-195 of the patent.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-7512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday, Thursday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,406 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stella L. Woo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614